CAPTION: Docket No. 2008-0677-EAQ-E. Consideration of an Agreed Order assessing administrative penalties against Kamary Development, Ltd. in Bexar County; RN105379333; for Edwards Aquifer violations pursuant to Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, including specifically 30 Texas Administrative Code ch. 60. (Lauren Smitherman, Bryan Sinclair)

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0677-EAQ-E **TCEQ ID:** RN105379333 **CASE NO.:** 35778

RESPONDENT NAME: Kamary Development, Ltd.

ORDER TYPE:						
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
_AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	XEDWARDS AQUIFER				
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURR intersection of Loop 1604 and Bandera Road TYPE OF OPERATION: Mixed use devel		located approximately 1,700 feet from the				
SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes	No					
OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: The facility location.	re are no complaints. There is no record of additiona	al pending enforcement actions regarding this				
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.						
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on September 8, 2008. No comments were received.						
5223; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforced Respondent: Mr. Adolfo E. Gutier Mr. Rudy Gonzalez, President, Ka	or: None : Ms. Lauren Smitherman, Enforcement Division, Ement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 rrez, Partner, Kamary Development, Ltd., 811 East Camary Development, Ltd., 811 East Calton Road, Laresented by counsel on this enforcement matter	Calton Road, Laredo, Texas 78041				

RESPONDENT NAME: Kamary Development, Ltd. **DOCKET NO.:** 2008-0677-EAQ-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHAR		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation: Complaint	Total Assessed: \$14,625	Corrective Actions Taken:
X Routine Bnforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$2,925 X Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay	The Executive Director recognizes that on February 19, 2008, the Respondent received approval of a WPAP for the Site.
Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None	SEP Conditional Offset: \$0	
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: January 11, 2008	Total Paid to General Revenue: \$11,700 Site Compliance History Classification	
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: April 7, 2008 (NOE)	High X Average Poor	
Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.	Person Compliance History Classification High Average Poor	
WATER	Major Source: X Yes No	
Failure to obtain approval of a Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") prior to beginning a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Specifically, the investigator observed clearing and mulching of vegetation on a	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
combined area of approximately 10 acres [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(a)(1)].		

Additional ID No(s).: 13-07120301

P	olicy Revision 2 (Sep		nalty Calculatio	n Worksheet		n March 8, 2008
TCEQ					-	
DATES	Assigned	14-Apr-2008				
	PCW	23-Apr-2008	Screening 23-Apr-2008	EPA Due		
RESPON	DENT/FACILITY					····
		Kamary Develo	pment, Ltd.		The state of the s	
	eg. Ent. Ref. No.		**************************************		100	_
Faci	ility/Site Region	13-San Antonio	V-2-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	Major/Minor Soเ	urce Major	<u> </u>
CACEINI	FORMATION	Inches and the second		V		
	FORMATION Enf./Case ID No.	25770	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	No. of Violati	ione 1	
_		2008-0677-EAC	Υ Ε		Type 1660	-
Ma	edia Program(s)				ator Lauren Smitherman	
IVIE	Multi-Media		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		eam Enforcement Team 1	
Δα	dmin. Penalty \$		\$0 Maximum	\$10,000	Carrier Comon Tourn	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in in Circuity Ψ		ΨΘ	ψ10,000		
			Penalty Calcula			400.000
TOTAL	BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of	violation base penaltic	es)	Subtotal 1	\$39,000
* D 1110	TREE (TO (. (.)	TO OUDTOT	Series المن المعالم المن المعالم المن المعالم المعالم المعالم المعالم المعالم المعالم المعالم المعالم المعالم		BEINGERAL IN NOTES OF STATE	
	TMENTS (+/-)			, the indicated persontage		
			e Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by		Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
U	Compliance Hist	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	Ψ0
	Notes	No enhan	cement is recommended du	e to average performer		
	140103		classification.			
С	ulpability	No	1 St. 1859 A. H. 19 10%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
				1 - 1 204		
1.0	Notes	The Ri	espondent does not meet th	e culpability criteria.		1.
G	ood Faith Effor	t to Comply	25%	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$9,750
		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sec. 2.7 × 1.2 × 1.0 ×	
	Extraordinary					
	Ordinary	Х				
	N/A		(mark with x)			
	N1-1	The Deep	and sat same into compliance	on Fohmon 10, 2009		
	Notes	I ne Respo	ondent came into compliance	e on February 19, 2006.		
				Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
		Total EB Amounts		d at the Total EB \$ Amount		BOOK TO THE
	Арргох.	Cost of Compliance	\$4,000			li di constanti di
CLIM OI	COUDTOTAL	o a princess.			Final Subtotal	\$29,250
SOM OF	F SUBTOTAL	S 1-/			Filiai Subiolai	Ψ23,230
						£44.00E
			IAY REQUIRE	-50%	Adjustment	-\$14,625
Reduces or	enhances the Final S	Subtotal by the indica	ted percentage.			
	Maria	Reduction	in penalty for immediately h	alting construction upon		
	Notes		engineer's reque	st.	er er sa	
				Eine	I Penalty Amount	\$14,625
				ГШа	ii Penaity Amount	Ψ14,023
CTATIL	TODY LIMIT A	D HIGHNEY			Assessed Donates	\$14,625
STAIU	TORY LIMIT A	ADJUS I MEN	! 그렇는 성장은 시작은 함께	Final /	Assessed Penalty	Ψ14,025
	D.4.1			0000		60.005
DEFER				20% Reduction	on Adjustment	-\$2,925
Reduces the	e Final Assessed Per	naity by the indicted p	percentage. (Enter number only; e.	g. 20 for 20% reduction.)		
			months and a formal to fine	المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد المستحد المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد المستحدد		
	Notes	1	Deferral offered for expedite	eu settiement.		
		L	·			
					20 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	
PAYAB	LE PENALTY					\$11,700

Screening Date 23-Apr-2008

Docket No. 2008-0677-EAQ-E

PCW

Respondent Kamary Development, Ltd.

Case ID No. 35778

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105379333

Media [Statute] Edwards Aquifer Enf. Coordinator Lauren Smitherman

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
PCW Revision March 8, 2008

Compliance History Worksheet

	Component	Number of	nter Number Here	Adjust.
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
		Other written NOVs	0	0%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	7 talato	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
		Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
) Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
		Adjustment P	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 2)
epe	eat Violator (Su	btotal 3)		
	N/A	Adjustment P	ercentage (Sı	ıbtotal 3) 🔃
om	pliance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)	!	
	Average P	erformer Adjustment P	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7) 🔃
om	pliance History	/ Summary		10年 代金耆
	Compliance History Notes	No enhancement is recommended due to average performer classification.		
		Land the state of		

Screening Date	23-Apr-2008	Docket No. 2008-067	7-EAQ-E	PCW
-	Kamary Development, Ltd.		Policy Revision 2 (Septe	mber 2002)
Case ID No.	35778		PCW Revision Ma	ırch 8, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105379333			William William
Media [Statute]	Edwards Aquifer			Table 1
Enf. Coordinator	Lauren Smitherman		en andre andre and the state of	
Violation Number	· 1 1			i de la companya de l
Rule Cite(s)	30	Tex. Admin. Code § 213.4(a)(1)		OT Generalisto
Violation Description	beginning a regulated documented during an inve	l of a Water Pollution Abatement Pla activity over the Edwards Aquifer R estigation conducted on January 11, earing and mulching of vegetation or approximately 10 acres.	echarge Zone, as 2008. Specifically, the	
			Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Matr	i x		Latina-Ada AAAA
	Harm	generalistikus karatas ir ikult turistikus. Tab indeks karatikat turistika kulturitik et disak erika.	r - durante Carro Association Association	Verilia
Release		Minor	·	
OR Actua		Banasat	100/	
Potentia		X Percent	10%	
SSProgrammatic Matrix				
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
Taisiication	Wajor Woderate	Percent	0%	•
		<u></u>		
Matrix Notes Human he which wo	ealth or the environment will o uld not exceed levels that are	r could be exposed to insignificant a protective of human health or envir	mounts of pollutants onmental receptors.	
		Adjustmer	t \$9,000	
	The production of the Committee of the C	a videol Societica at Color Alla Alla Color Color Societica (Marie Management e color Marie Color colo		
				\$1,000
Violation Events				
Number of Vi	olation Events 39	Number o	of violation days	
	daily monthly		n I I Bar Barata	\$20,000
mark only one with an x	quarterly semiannual annual single event x		/iolation Base Penalty	\$39,000
Thirty-nir		nded based on the investigation dat of compliance (February 19, 2008).	e (January 11, 2008)	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation	Statuto	ry Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$21 Violat	ion Final Penalty Total	\$14,625
	Management of the second of th			¢14 605
	Tr - and the order of the company of the	ils violation Final Assessed Pena	ty (adjusted for limits)	\$14,625

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet	aldi) yaa saasi	
Respondent h	Kamary Develo	pment, Ltd.					
Case ID No. 3	35778				*		
Reg. Ent. Reference No. F	RN105379333						
	Edwards Aquife	r			•	Descriptions of	Years of
Violation No. 1	•					Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.				410(11)	amenajegajanange aj	- 1	•
	Sala Sala	All Sales				5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	turing the second secon	n sett kritete zulen kolastifize			(전 : 141) [인 : 141]	
Delayed Costs _⊫		<u> </u>		1	<u> </u>		
Equipment	تتنصب التصيدات	the transfer of the	uifingin (0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings		and the same of the same of		0.0	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Other (as needed)	1.10.44			0.0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0 \$0		\$0 \$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal	The state of the s	All the state of t	4	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$4,000	11-Jan-2008	19-Feb-2008	0.1	\$21	n/a	\$21
Other (as needed)	ψ4,000	11-0a11-2000	18-1 60-2000	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		14.	and the final dat	e is the c	date of compliance	e required is the inve	
Avoided Costs	AININ	UALIZE [1] avoid	eu costs perore e	0.0	\$0	so I	\$0
Disposal Personnel	and the second second		and the state of t	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	- 10 may 1 agraphy (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment			2	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	·	8.0		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		8		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$4.000			TOTAL		\$2

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603271685 Kamary Development, Ltd. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.01 KAMARY COMMONS MIXED USE Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 3.01 RN105379333 Regulated Entity: DEVELOPMENT BY DEFAULT ID Number(s): REGISTRATION 13-07120301 **EDWARDS AQUIFER** 1,700 FT FROM THE INTX OF LOOP 1604 AND BANDERA Location: RD, BEXAR CO, TX **REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO** TCEQ Region: Date Compliance History Prepared: April 23, 2008 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: April 23, 2003 to April 23, 2008 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Lauren Smitherman Phone: Name: Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. N/A Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. (611353)1 04/09/2008 Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E. F. Environmental audits. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G. Η. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. J. Early compliance. N/A

Sites Outside of Texas
N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§ S	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
KAMARY DEVELOPMENT, LTD.	§	
RN105379333	§ §	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0677-EAQ-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Kamary Development, Ltd. ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns a mixed use development property located approximately 1,700 feet from the intersection of Loop 1604 and Bandera Road in Bexar County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Respondent has caused, suffered, allowed or permitted the discharge of any waste or the performance of any activity in violation of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 or any rule, permit, or order of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about April 12, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$14,625) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eleven Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$11,700) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Nine Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$2,925) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on February 19, 2008, the Respondent received approval of a Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") for the Site.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Site, the Respondent is alleged to have failed to obtain approval of a WPAP prior to beginning a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 11, 2008, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 213.4(a)(1). Specifically, the investigator observed clearing and mulching of vegetation on a combined area of approximately 10 acres.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Kamary Development, Ltd., Docket No. 2008-0677-EAQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

For the Commission

Kamary Development, Ltd.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

goon S.	rdlús	8	3/21/2008
For the Executive D	irector	Date	
\circ	·	*	
attached Agreed Ore and conditions spec	der on behalf of the entity indica	ated below my signated below my signated that the TCEC	I am authorized to agree to the ture, and I do agree to the terms 2, in accepting payment for the
	The Charles of the Control of the Co	*	
I also understand the	at failure to comply with the Ord	ering Provisions, if a	ny, in this order and/or failure to
timely pay the penal	ty amount, may result in:		
	mpact on compliance history;		•
	tiny of any permit applications s	ubmitted;	
			mpt, injunctive relief, additional
penalties, ar	nd/or attorney fees, or to a collect	tion agency;	
 Increased per 	enalties in any future enforcemen	it actions;	
	eferral to the Attorney General's		enforcement actions; and
	ing other relief as authorized by l		•
In addition, any fals	ification of any compliance docu	iments may result in o	criminal prosecution.
, ,	////		
			5
		Tura	20 0000
	7	2000	= 30, 2008.
Signature		Date	n subbinario de la companya de la c
Adolf F.G.	therroy	Paga	The board
Name (Printed or ty	med)	Title	700.00
Authorized Represe		11110	•
riumorizou reprose	ALLEGE TO OI		

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

en de la companya de la co

and the control of t The control of the control of

en de la composition La composition de la

en grande service de la companya de la co

en de la minima de la composición de la mentra de la composición de la composición de la composición de la com La composición de la